W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/648,906	BOHANNON, GER	ALD DAVIS
	Examiner	Art Unit	The BAVIO
	Ula C Ruddock	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commining of the comministic of the comminist	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due	led course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>9/23/04</u> .			
2. \square The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,4-7 and 9</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>25 August 2000</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.		
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the case of the priority documents and the deposition of the deposition	been received. been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file ENT of this application. Itted. Note the attached EX is reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. It is application on the header according to 37 Clist of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No d in this national stage applicate a reply complying with the re AMINER'S AMENDMENT or I r declaration is deficient. W (PTO-948) attached r in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted.	equirements NOTICE OF
attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F Attachment(s) 1. □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. □ Notice of Profitnerses's Patent Proving Review (PTO 948)	5. ☐ Notice of Ir	formal Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)
 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 	Paper No.	 ✓ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date <u>9/29/04</u>. ✓ Examiner's Amendment/Comment 	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛭 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for All	owance
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other	_·	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/648,906	BOHANNON, GERALD DAVIS		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ula C Ruddock	1771		
All Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) <u>Ula C Ruddock</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Stan Moore</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 29 September 2004	Time:	·		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
1	•			
Prior art documents discussed: Romanek et al. (US 5,358,356)				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:		
Applicant has agreed to amend the claim to read on "consisting o	f" language to overcome the reje	ctions.		
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
ULA RÜDDOCK				
PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Mea Ruddock				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)		

Application/Control Number: 09/648,906

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed September 23, 2004. All rejections have been overcome.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Stan Moore on September 28, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

**In claim 1, line 2, delete "essentially"

Reasons for Allowance

- 3. Claims 1, 4-7, and 9 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the rejections have been overcome by the present Examiner's amendment and Applicant's response. No prior art was found to teach an erosion control blanket for controlling erosion and blending in with a surrounding area **consisting of** a top sheet of a netting material having a color which tends to blend in with the surrounding area; a bottom sheet of a netting material; a synthetic filler material being disposed between said top sheet and said bottom sheet; wherein said synthetic filler material comprises a plurality of crimped polymer fibers, said crimped polymer fibers being arranged to form a three-

Art Unit: 1771

dimensional matrix; wherein said synthetic filler material comprises a substantially post-consumer recycled polyester having a color which tends to blend in with the surrounding area.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ULA RUDDOCK
PRIMARY EXAMINED

UCR